PATENT APPLICATION

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of

Docket No: Q78224

Kazunori MUNE, et al.

Appln. No.: 10/700,635

Group Art Unit: 2883

Confirmation No.: 4858

Examiner: Charlie Yu PENG

Filed: November 5, 2003

For: PROCESS FOR PRODUCING THREE-DIMENSIONAL POLYMIDE OPTICAL WAVEGUIDE

STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Please review and enter the following remarks summarizing the interview conducted on February 13, 2006 and March 13, 2006:

REMARKS

During the interview, the following was discussed:

- 1. Brief description of exhibits or demonstration: None
- 2. Identification of claims discussed: Claim 9
- 3. Identification of art discussed: DeVoe
- 4. Identification of principal proposed amendments: Amending claim 9 to recite the pulse frequency recited in claim 10.
- 5. Brief Identification of principal arguments: DeVoe does not disclose a pulse laser having a frequency of 10 Hz to 500 kHz.
- 6. Indication of other pertinent matters discussed: During the interview conducted on February 13, 2006, the Examiner's withdrawal of the allowance of claims 9 and 10 in the

AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.114(C)

U.S. Application No.: 10/700,635

Attorney Docket No.: 78224

Advisory Action was discussed, and Applicants' representative requested that the Advisory

Action be replaced with an Office Action. However, the Examiner indicated that the amendment

of claims 2-4 and 7-8 to depend from claim 9 resulted in new combinations that were not

previously examined, and thus, the Examiner stated that a new Office Action would not be

issued. In addition, the Examiner advised that he would be willing to grant an interview to

discuss any amendments Applicants might consider making in order to distinguish the present

invention from DeVoe prior to the filing of any Amendment.

7. Results of Interview: The Examiner agreed that DeVoe does not disclose a pulse

laser having a frequency of 10 Hz to 500 kHz, and that the amendment would most likely result

in a Notice of Allowance.

It is respectfully submitted that the instant STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW

complies with the requirements of 37 C.F.R. §§1.2 and 1.133 and MPEP §713.04.

It is believed that no petition or fee is required. However, if the USPTO deems

otherwise, Applicant hereby petitions for any extension of time which may be required to

maintain the pendency of this case, and any required fee, except for the Issue Fee, for such

extension is to be charged to Deposit Account No. 19-4880.

Respectfully submitted,

Registration No. 47,121

Keiko K. Takagi

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC

Telephone: (202) 293-7060

Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

WASHINGTON OFFICE

CUSTOMER NUMBER

Date: March 14, 2006

2